UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/577,040	04/24/2006	Hiroaki Masuyama	2006_0605A	9096	
	7590 03/31/201 , LIND & PONACK, I		EXAMINER		
1030 15th Street, N.W., Suite 400 East			KHATTAR, RAJESH		
Washington, DC 20005-1503			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3693		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/31/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ddalecki@wenderoth.com eoa@wenderoth.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/577,040	MASUYAMA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	RAJESH KHATTAR	3693	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a red d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONI ate, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communicatio ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ The 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matte	·	s
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5 and 14 is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4, 6-13, 15-22 are subject to restree.	ndrawn from consideration.	ment.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) as Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the B	ccepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand ection is required if the drawing(s)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Apiority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) \[\sum \text{Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)} \]	4) ☐ Interview Si	ummary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Preferences Gled (115-632) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/577,040 Page 2

Art Unit: 3693

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1, drawn to an enterprise evaluation device for calculating R&D cost ratio.

Group II, claim(s) 2, drawn to an enterprise device for calculating gross operating profit by adding acquired operating profit and R&D cost.

Group III, claim(s) 3, drawn to an enterprise evaluation device for calculating gross operating profit by subtracting the administrative expenses from a value obtained by adding sales profit and R&D cost.

Group IV, claim(s) 4, drawn to an enterprise evaluation device for calculating R&D cost ratio by dividing acquired R&D cost by the total assets.

Group V, claim(s) 6, drawn to an enterprise evaluation device for calculating R&D cost ratio by dividing acquired R&D cost by value added amount.

Group VI, claim(s) 7, drawn to an enterprise evaluation device for calculating R&D cost as a function of gross operating profit and displaying calculated R&D cost ratio and market value added.

Group VII, claim(s) 8, drawn to an enterprise evaluation device for calculating expected intellectual property profit.

Group VIII, claim(s) 9, drawn to an enterprise evaluation device for calculating expected intellectual property profit as a function of tangible assets.

Group IX, claim(s) 10, drawn to an enterprise evaluation program for calculating R&D cost ratio as a function of the gross operating profit.

Art Unit: 3693

Group X, claim(s) 11, drawn to an enterprise evaluation program for calculating gross operating profit.

Group XI, claim(s) 12, drawn to an enterprise evaluation program for calculating cost as a function of manufacturing cost.

Group XII, claim(s) 13, drawn to an enterprise evaluation program for calculating R&D cost as a function of the total assets.

Group XIII, claim(s) 15, drawn to an enterprise evaluation program for calculating R&D cost as a function of value added amount.

Group XIV, claim(s) 16, drawn to an enterprise evaluation program for calculating R&D cost as a function of gross operating profit and acquired market value added.

Group XV, claim(s) 17, drawn to an enterprise evaluation program for calculating expected intellectual property profit.

Group XVI, claim(s) 18, drawn to an enterprise evaluation program for calculating expected intellectual property profit as a function of return on tangible assets.

Group XVII, claim(s) 19, drawn to an enterprise evaluation device for calculating R&D cost index ratio.

Group XVIII, claim(s) 20, drawn to an enterprise evaluation program for calculating R&D cost index ratio.

Group XIX, claim(s) 21, drawn to an enterprise evaluation device for calculating index of R&D cost ratio as a function of sales volume and value added amount.

Group XX, claim(s) 22, drawn to an enterprise evaluation program for calculating index of R&D cost ratio as a function of sales volume and value added amount.

The inventions listed as Groups I-XX do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The technical feature present in Group I is different than what is present in the remaining Groups II-XX. Similarly, the technical feature present in Group II is different than what is present in the remaining Groups and so on.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RAJESH KHATTAR whose telephone number is (571)272-7981. The examiner can normally be reached on Flex schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Kramer can be reached on 571-272-6783. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/577,040 Page 5

Art Unit: 3693

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Rajesh Khattar/ Examiner, Art Unit 3693